

The effects of energy-related policies on energy consumption in China¹

Shuyang Si, Mingjie Lyu, C.-Y. Cynthia Lin Lawell, and Song Chen

Abstract

This paper examines the effects of different types of energy-related policies on different types of energy consumption in China. We collect and construct a novel, comprehensive, and detailed data set on province-level energy-related policies that includes specific types of energy-related command and control policies; financial incentives; awards; intellectual property rights; and education and information policies. Our econometric method employs instruments to address the potential endogeneity of the policies. According to our results, some types of energy-related policies have been effective in reducing energy consumption. However, many other policies have the possibly unintended or even perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing energy consumption. Our results on the mixed effectiveness of energy-related policies in China in reducing energy consumption have important implications for the design of energy-related policies in China and elsewhere.

Keywords: energy-related policies; energy consumption; China

JEL codes: Q48, Q41, Q58

This draft: October 2018

¹Si: Cornell University; ss3852@cornell.edu. Lyu: Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance; lmj86112@126.com. Lin Lawell: Cornell University; clinlawell@cornell.edu. Chen: Tongji University; chens@tongji.edu.cn. We received financial support from the “Shanghai Science and Technology Development Fund for Soft Science -- Doctoral Dissertation” (Project #12692193300) and from an Exxon-Mobil ITS-Davis Corporate Affiliate Fellowship. We thank Daniel Phaneuf and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. All errors are our own.

1. Introduction

Energy-related issues are pervasive throughout the world. In many developing countries such as China, energy consumption has been increasing rapidly, resulting in energy-related problems such as power shortages and environmental pollution. These problems have severely threatened the sustainable development of these countries and have caused great concern at all levels of society, from the general public to national governments to international agencies.

Due to the severity of energy-related problems, the governments of many developing countries have begun to introduce energy policies and regulations to combat these problems. The intention with most energy-related policies is to influence processes in such a way that leads to more efficient or more careful use of resources and to more environmentally sustainable behavior. In this paper, we examine the effects of different types of energy-related policies on different types of energy consumption in China.

There is a large previous literature on the effects of different types of energy policies, particularly in the context of the United States (see e.g., Auffhammer et al., 2016; Barker, Ekins and Foxon, 2007; Beaudoin et al., 2018; Fell and Linn, 2013; Gillingham, Newell and Palmer, 2006; Lade, Lin Lawell and Smith, 2018; Parry et al., 2014; Popp, 2002; Reguant, forthcoming; Scott et al., 2008; Weyant and Olavson, 1999; Williams, 2017). Blackman, Li and Liu (2018) review emerging experimental and quasi-experimental evidence on the efficacy of command-and-control and market-based environmental policies in developing countries.

There is also a growing strand of literature on the rebound effect, which may cause energy policies to be ineffective in reducing energy consumption. A “rebound” effect arises when some of the gains from improving the efficiency of energy use is lost because of behavioral responses. For example, a decrease in energy consumption due to efficiency improvement also leads to a reduction in the real cost of energy services per unit, and thus brings about an increase in the demand for energy services. Therefore, the potential energy savings and emissions reduction from efficiency improvement might be offset by responses to the cost reduction (Gillingham et al., 2013; Lin, Yang and Liu, 2013; Zhang and Lin Lawell,

2017). Similarly, an increase in energy efficiency can spur economic growth, either through a reallocation of growth through sectoral reallocation or overall growth through an increase in total factor productivity, and the economic growth requires additional energy consumption (Gillingham, Rapson and Wagner, 2016; Zhang and Lin Lawell, 2017).

While there are many studies on different types of energy policies, there are fewer empirical papers on the relationship between China's energy policies and their consequences, and some of these papers are written in Chinese. In its 1999 World Energy Outlook, the International Energy Agency (IEA, 1999) quantifies the size of China's fossil fuel and electricity subsidies, and assesses the potential impact that a removal of the subsidy would have on energy consumption. Lin, Jiang and Lin (2009) argue that China's subsidy mechanism was both inefficient and unfair, and suggest that it would have been essential to adopt a more targeted subsidy policy. Lin and Jiang (2011) analyze China's energy subsidies and use a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model to analyze the economic impacts of energy subsidy reforms. Liu and Li (2011) analyze the fossil energy subsidies of China and use a CGE model to simulate the effects of fossil energy subsidy reform under different scenarios. Jiang and Lin (2014) examine China's fossil fuel subsidies and use a CGE model to analyze the effects of removing them. Ouyang and Lin (2014) evaluate the impacts of increasing renewable energy subsidies and phasing out fossil fuel subsidies on the macro-economy and energy system in China. Li and Lin (2015) analyze the effects of China's fossil fuel subsidies on energy rebound effects. Lin and Zeng (2014) calculate the optimal gasoline tax for China. Si, Chalfant, Lin Lawell, and Yi (2018) analyze the effects of China's biofuel policies on agricultural and ethanol markets. Liu and Lin (2018) analyze the natural gas subsidy in China.

There is also a literature on energy consumption in China. Fisher-Vanden et al. (2016) find that energy costs are a significant contributor to the decline in energy intensity in four Chinese industries: pulp and paper, cement, iron and steel, and aluminum. Lin and Zeng (2013) estimate the elasticity of demand for gasoline in China. Cao, Ho and Liang (2016) estimate the income and price elasticities of household energy demand for various energy types using Chinese urban household micro-data collected by the National Bureau of Statistics.

This paper builds upon the existing literature by examining the effects of multiple different energy-related policies in China on energy consumption using province-level data over the period 2002 to 2013. In particular, we collect and construct a novel and comprehensive data set on energy-related policies at the provincial level in China from the 2656 energy-related province-level laws and regulations that are in place for at least one year over the years 2002 to 2013. We construct detailed policy variables for specific types of command and control policies; financial incentives; awards; intellectual property rights; and education and information policies. We analyze the marginal effects of each specific type of energy-related policy on energy consumption, including various forms of fossil fuel consumption and various forms of biomass energy consumption, while controlling for all other specific types of energy-related policy. Our econometric method employs instruments to address the potential endogeneity of the policies.

According to our results, some types of policies have been effective in reducing energy consumption, including loans to firms for reducing pollution; funding or subsidies for research and development to increase energy efficiency; funding or subsidies for reducing fossil fuel consumption; non-monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption; and providing education and information for energy conservation.

However, many other policies have the possibly unintended or even perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing energy consumption. These include loans to either firms or households for reducing fossil fuel consumption; monetary awards for having reduced fossil fuel consumption; emissions standards for air pollution; and intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency. Providing education and information for increasing energy efficiency has the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in electricity consumption per capita and in stalks consumption per capita, possibly due to a rebound effect resulting from the adoption of energy efficiency practices and technologies mentioned in the education and information being provided. Monetary awards for having reduced pollution have different effects on different types of energy consumption.

Our results on the mixed effectiveness of energy-related policies in China in reducing

energy consumption have important implications for the design of energy-related policies in China and elsewhere.

The balance of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 describes the different types of energy-related policies in China. Section 3 describes our data. Section 4 presents the empirical model. Section 5 presents the results. Section 6 concludes.

2. Energy-Related Policies in China

For our policy variables, we collect and construct a novel and comprehensive data set on energy-related policies at the provincial level in China by collecting data from online databases of laws and regulations from the websites of each of the provincial governments as well as from Lawtime, a website which collects laws and regulations in China (Lawtime, 2017).

Our policy variables are constructed from the 2656 energy-related province-level laws and regulations that are in place for at least one year over the period 2002 to 2013. These province-level laws and regulations include national laws and regulations implemented in each province, some of which may be differentiated by province. Some of the laws were implemented during the 2002-2013 time period of our data set; others were already in place. Some laws continued even after the end of our 2002-2013 time period; others expired before the end of the time period. Each of the 2656 province-level laws and regulations has multiple clauses, and may include multiple provisions.

For each of the 2656 province-level laws and regulations over the years 2002 to 2013, we categorize their provisions and features into the specific types of command and control policies; financial incentives; awards; intellectual property rights; and education and information policies described below. Because each province-level law and regulation has multiple clauses, provisions, and features each law and regulation may include more than one of the following types of policies.

2.1. Command and control

Our first category of energy-related policies are command and control policies. We categorize the 2656 province-level laws and regulations into whether their features or provisions include policies for the following 7 separate types of command and control policies: (1) an ambient air quality standard for a maximum amount of pollution in air; (2) an ambient water quality standard for a maximum amount of pollution in water; (3) an emissions standard for air pollution for a maximum amount of air pollution emissions; (4) an emissions standard for water pollution for maximum amount of water pollution emissions; (5) a technology standard which requires polluters to use certain technologies, practices, or techniques, such as a certain pollution control technology; (6) a fuel mandate which mandates that a certain share of fuel be renewable, or that the carbon intensity of fuels not exceed a certain amount; and (7) a renewable electricity mandate which mandates that a certain share of electricity be renewable, or that the emissions rate from electricity not exceed a certain amount.

2.2. Financial incentives

Another category of energy-related policies are financial incentives. We consider several types of financial incentives. The first type of financial incentives are favorable tax treatments, which we further delineate into favorable tax treatments for (a) reducing pollution; (b) increasing energy efficiency; or (c) conserving energy.

A second type of financial incentives are environmental taxes, which we further delineate into environmental taxes for (a) water pollution emissions and (b) fossil fuel consumption.

A third type of financial incentives are funding or subsidies, which we further delineate into funding or subsidies for (a) research and development to reduce pollution; (b) research and development to increase energy efficiency; (c) research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption; (d) research and development to increase renewable energy consumption; (e) reducing pollution; (f) increasing energy efficiency; (g) reducing fossil fuel consumption; and (h) energy conservation.

A third type of financial incentives are loans the government provides to firms, which we further delineate into loans to firms for (a) reducing pollution; (b) increasing energy efficiency; (c) reducing fossil fuel consumption; (d) increasing renewable energy consumption; and (e) energy conservation.

A fourth type of financial incentives are loans provided by the government to households, which we further categorize into loans to households for (a) increasing energy efficiency; (b) reducing fossil fuel consumption; and (c) increasing renewable energy consumption.

2.3. Awards

Another category of energy-related policies are awards that are given after something has been accomplished. We separate awards between monetary awards and non-monetary awards.

For monetary awards, we further distinguish between monetary awards for having (a) reduced pollution; (b) increased energy efficiency; (c) reduced fossil fuel consumption; (d) increased renewable energy consumption; (e) developed technology to reduce pollution; (f) developed technology to increase energy efficiency; (g) developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption; (h) developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and (i) reduced energy consumption, or saved or conserved energy.

For non-monetary awards, we further distinguish between non-monetary awards for having (a) reduced pollution; (b) increased energy efficiency; (c) reduced fossil fuel consumption; (d) developed technology to reduce pollution; (e) developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption; (f) developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and (g) reduced energy consumption.

2.4. Intellectual property rights

Another category of energy-related policies are intellectual property rights, which we further categorize into intellectual property rights for (a) research and development to reduce

pollution; (b) research and development to increase energy efficiency; (c) research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption; (d) research and development to increase renewable energy consumption; and (e) other research and development.

2.5. Education and information

Another category of energy-related policies are policies that provide education and information, which we further categorize into policies that provide education and information for (a) reducing pollution; (b) increasing energy efficiency; (c) increasing renewable energy consumption; (d) energy conservation; and (e) managing energy

3. Data

To analyze the effects of energy-related policies in China on energy consumption, we use panel data for 30 provinces from 2002 to 2013. Tibet, Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan are excluded from the analysis. Because of missing data prior to 2002, and owing to data limitations for more recent data, we limit the period of study to the period 2002 to 2013.

Data on energy consumption come from the China Energy Statistical Yearbooks. We use data on various forms of fossil fuel energy consumption and various forms of biomass energy consumption. For fossil fuel consumption, we obtain data on the consumption three types of fossil fuels – coal, crude oil, and natural gas – as well as the consumption of four types of fossil fuel distillates – coke, fuel oil, kerosene oil, and diesel oil. We also obtain data on the consumption of gasoline and electricity. For biomass energy consumption, we obtain data on noncommercial energy consumption of biogas, stalks, and firewood in rural areas in China.²

Table 1 presents the summary statistics for the energy consumption variables in our data set, as well as for total energy consumption per capita, which we define as the sum of all the various forms of energy consumption per capita in our data set. We convert the units for each kind of energy consumption into tons coal equivalent (TCE) using conversion factors reported

² Coal, natural gas, oil, electricity, and biomass are the primary sources of energy for heating in China (Benazeraf, 2017).

in the China Energy Statistical Yearbook. Table A1 in Appendix A presents the within and between variation for the energy consumption variables. “Within” variation is the variation in the energy consumption variable across years for a given province. “Between” variation is the variation in the energy consumption variable across provinces for a given year.

For our policy variables, we collect and construct a novel data set on energy-related policies at the provincial level in China by collecting data from online databases of laws and regulations from the websites of each of the provincial governments as well as from Lawtime, a website which collects laws and regulations in China (Lawtime, 2017).

Our policy variables are constructed from the 2656 energy-related province-level laws and regulations that are in place for at least one year over the period 2002 to 2013. These province-level laws and regulations include national laws and regulations implemented in each province, some of which may be differentiated by province. Some of the laws were implemented during the 2002-2013 time period of our data set; others were already in place. Some laws continued even after the end of our 2002-2013 time period; others expired before the end of the time period. Each of the 2656 province-level laws and regulations has multiple clauses, and may include multiple provisions.

For each of the 2656 province-level laws and regulations over the years 2002 to 2013, we categorize their provisions and features into the types of policies described in Section 2. Because each province-level law and regulation has multiple clauses, provisions, and features each law and regulation may include more than one type of policy.

For each type of policy, we construct a dummy variable for whether there is a policy of that particular type in province i at time t . It is difficult to quantify the policies along other dimensions, as dimensions such as the stringency of the policy or the extent of the policy are either not observable or difficult to quantify objectively in a single measure, particularly one that aggregates across the 2656 province-level laws and regulations. Moreover, as the focus of this paper is on the marginal effects of different types of energy-related policies when considering and controlling for a full and comprehensive set of all energy-related policies in place, we have opted to use simple measures of each type of policy in favor of being able to

include a comprehensive set of many policies. In future work we hope to develop measures to quantify the magnitude and/or stringency of the policies, particularly for the subset of policies we have found in this paper to have significant effects on energy consumption.

We streamline the set of policies we consider by eliminating those policies that have very little variation in our data set, since for these policies we do not have enough variation to identify their effects. First, we drop all policies that were in place in over 90% of the province-years of our data set, since these essentially province-invariant policies are implemented nearly nation-wide and are therefore absorbed in the year effects. This eliminates the policy variable for funding or subsidies for research and development to reduce pollution, which was in place for 97% of the province-years of our data set.

Second, we drop any policy variable that is constant (i.e., always 0 for all years or always 1 for all years) for 28 or more out of the 30 provinces, since these time-invariant policy variables are absorbed by the province fixed effects. This eliminates a number of policy variables, including the policy variable for funding or subsidies for research and development to reduce pollution also excluded because of the first criterion above.

The policy variables that are eliminated because they are always constant for 28 or more out of the 30 provinces include the policy variables for ambient air quality standards; ambient water quality standards; emissions standards for water pollution; fuel mandates; favorable tax treatment for reducing pollution; taxes on water pollution emissions; funding or subsidies for research and development to reduce pollution; funding or subsidies for reducing pollution; funding or subsidies for energy conservation; loans to households for increasing energy efficiency; loans to households for increasing renewable energy consumption; monetary awards for having increased energy efficiency; monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce pollution; monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption; intellectual property rights for research and development to reduce pollution; intellectual property rights for research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption; intellectual property rights for research and development to increase renewable energy consumption; and intellectual property rights for other research and development.

Tables A2-A7 in Appendix A list, for each of the policy variables we dropped, which provinces always had this type of policy and which provinces never had this type of policy over the 2002-2013 period of our data set.

The policy variables we use in our empirical analysis are the policy variables described in Section 2 that remain after eliminating those policies that have very little variation in our data set as described above. Table 2 lists our policy variables along with the summary statistics.

Since energy consumption may be affected by energy prices and income, the economic variables we control for include energy-related prices and income. In particular, we use annual province-level data on three energy-related price indices that may affect energy consumption: the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; and the retail price index for fuels. As these price indices are neither highly correlated nor collinear,³ as each controls for a different economic factor that may affect energy consumption, and as our focus is on identifying the effects of energy-related policies rather than on identifying the effects of individual economic variables, we include all three price indices as economic controls in our regressions. In addition, since energy consumption may be affected by income and/or economic growth, we also control for GDP per capita. All of our economic variables are from the China Statistical Yearbook. Table 3 presents the summary statistics for the economic variables in our data set.

4. Econometric Model

To analyze the effects of energy-related policies in China on energy consumption, we estimate the following instrumental variables (IV) fixed effects model:

$$\ln c_{it} = \text{policies}_{it}' \beta_1 + \ln \text{economic}_{it}' \beta_2 + \alpha_i + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{it},$$

³ Though correlated, the price indices we use as economic controls are neither highly correlated nor collinear. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; and the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels, is 0.4690. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; and the retail price index for fuels, is 0.7487. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; and the retail price index for fuels, is 0.8299.

where c_{ijt} is per capita energy consumption for energy type j for province i in year t , $policies_{it}$ is a vector of energy-related policy variables, $economic_{it}$ is a vector of economic variables, α_i is a province fixed effect, τ_t is a year effect, and ε_{it} is an error term.

The types j of per capita energy consumption c_{ijt} we analyze include total energy consumption, coal consumption, crude oil consumption, natural gas consumption, gasoline consumption, electricity consumption, coke consumption, fuel oil consumption, kerosene consumption, diesel oil consumption, biogas consumption, stalks consumption, and firewood consumption.

The vector $policies_{it}$ of energy-related policy variables includes specific types of command and control policies; financial incentives; awards; intellectual property rights; and education and information policies.

The command and control policy variables include policy variables for emissions standards for air pollution; technology standards; and renewable electricity mandates.

The financial incentives policy variables include policy variables for specific types of taxes; funding or subsidies; loans to firms; and loans to households. The tax policy variables include policy variables for favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency; favorable tax treatment for conserving energy; and taxes on fossil fuel consumption.

The funding or subsidies policy variables include policy variables for funding or subsidies for research and development to increase energy efficiency; for research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption; for research and development to increase renewable energy consumption; for increasing energy efficiency; and for reducing fossil fuel consumption.

The loans policy variables include policy variables for loans to firms for reducing pollution; for increasing energy efficiency; for reducing fossil fuel consumption; for increasing renewable energy consumption; and for energy conservation; and loans to households for reducing fossil fuel consumption.

The awards policy variables include policy variables for specific types of monetary and non-monetary awards. The monetary awards policy variables include policy variables for monetary awards for having reduced pollution; for having reduced fossil fuel consumption; for having increased renewable energy consumption; for having developed technology to increase energy efficiency; for having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and for having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy.

The non-monetary awards policy variables include policy variables for non-monetary awards for having reduced pollution; for having increased energy efficiency; for having reduced fossil fuel consumption; for having developed technology to reduce pollution; for having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption; for having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and for having reduced energy consumption.

The intellectual property rights policy variable is the policy variable for intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency.

The education and information policy variables include policy variables for education and information for reducing pollution; for increasing energy efficiency; for increasing renewable energy consumption; for energy conservation; and for managing energy.

For each type of policy, the policy variable for that policy type for province i in time t is a dummy for whether there is a policy of a particular type in province i at time t . It is difficult to quantify the policies along other dimensions, as dimensions such as the stringency of the policy or the extent of the policy are either not observable or difficult to quantify objectively in a single measure, particularly one that aggregates across the 2656 province-level laws and regulations. Moreover, as the focus of this paper is on the marginal effects of different types of energy-related policies when considering and controlling for a full and comprehensive set of all energy-related policies in place, we have opted to use simple measures of each type of policy in favor of being able to include a comprehensive set of many policies. In future work we hope to develop measures to quantify the magnitude and/or stringency of the policies, particularly for the subset of policies we have found in this paper to

have significant effects on energy consumption.

Since energy consumption may be affected by energy prices and income, the vector $economic_{it}$ of economic variables we control for include energy-related prices and income. In particular, we use annual province-level data on three energy-related price indices that may affect energy consumption: the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; and the retail price index for fuels. As these price indices are neither highly correlated nor collinear,⁴ as each controls for a different economic factor that may affect energy consumption, and as our focus is on identifying the effects of energy-related policies rather than on identifying the effects of individual economic variables, we include all three price indices as economic controls in our regressions. In addition, since energy consumption may be affected by income and/or economic growth, we also control for GDP per capita.⁵ Additional economic factors that vary by province or over time, such as aspects of industrial development not fully captured by GDP per capita, or aspects of transportation infrastructure not fully captured by the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts, are captured by the province fixed effects and year effects.

Since we control for GDP per capita in our regressions, the coefficients on our energy-related policy variables measure the marginal effects of the energy-related policies holding GDP per capita constant, and can therefore be interpreted as the marginal effects of the energy-related policies on any energy consumption that is not simply explained by purely by economic growth. Thus, even if the primary objective of energy-related policies were to mitigate increases in energy consumption that result from economic growth, rather than to reduce energy consumption *per se*, the coefficients would still measure how effective the individual policies were in mitigating increases in energy consumption.

⁴ Though correlated, the price indices we use as economic controls are neither highly correlated nor collinear. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; and the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels, is 0.4690. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; and the retail price index for fuels, is 0.7487. The correlation coefficient between the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; and the retail price index for fuels, is 0.8299.

⁵ Si, Lyu, Lin Lawell, and Song (2018) analyze the effects of energy policies in China on GDP, industrial output, and new energy profits.

In analyzing the effects of government energy-related policies on energy consumption, one may worry that the policies are endogenous (Rehme, 2011). One reason for this endogeneity is that the presence of certain energy-related policies in certain provinces may be correlated with unobserved factors that affect energy consumption. For example, provinces that have industries such as manufacturing that generate a lot of pollution may have more need for energy-related pollution regulation, but these industries may also consume a lot of energy.

To address any potential endogeneity of the policies, we estimate an instrumental variables (IV) fixed effects model. For each policy type, we instrument for the policy variable for that policy type using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy type in province i , which we define as the sum of the policy variables of that policy type over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. This instrument is therefore the number of other provinces except province i that had that policy type at time $t-1$.

We assume that, conditional on our controls -- which include the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; the retail price index for fuels; GDP per capita; province fixed effects; and year effects -- the time lagged spatial lag of policies in other provinces have no effect on a province's energy consumption except through their effect on the province's current policies. This assumption makes sense since unobservable factors that may be correlated across provinces such as infrastructure, transboundary pollution, and trade are absorbed by the year effects, and also controlled for by the consumer price index for transportation fuels and parts; the consumer price index for residential water, electricity, and fuels; the retail price index for fuels; GDP per capita; and province fixed effects. Thus, conditional on economic variables, province fixed effects, and year effects, policies of other provinces implemented in the previous year should not influence the energy consumption in that province, except through their effect on the province's current policies. The instruments are therefore correlated with policies in province i at time t and do not affect the energy consumption in province i at time t except through their effect on the policies in province i at time t .

Table 4 presents the Angrist-Pischke first-stage F-statistics. All the Angrist-Pischke

first-stage F-statistics are greater than 8; and 35 out of the 37 Angrist-Pischke first-stage F-statistics are greater than 10. The results from the first-stage regressions for each of the endogenous policy variables are in Tables B1-B10 in Appendix B. For each endogenous policy variable, there is at least one instrument that has a significant effect on that endogenous policy variable. Thus, the instruments are correlated with the endogenous variables, even when controlling for all the other instruments and for the control variables.

We choose to use fixed effects instead of random effects because we believe that time-invariant province unobservables are potentially correlated with the regressors; our choice was confirmed by results of Hausman tests in preliminary analyses which deemed fixed effects to be the more appropriate specification (results not shown).

5. Results

Table C1 in Appendix C presents the IV fixed effects results for total energy consumption. There are fewer observations for our total energy consumption variable than for our variables for energy consumption for different types of energy since total energy consumption was calculated from summing the variables for all the different types of energy consumption together, and is therefore missing an observation for a particular province-year whenever at least one of the energy consumption variables for that province-year is missing. Because the regression results for total energy consumption are based on fewer observations, we put less weight on our total energy consumption results.

According to the results for total energy consumption in Table C1 in Appendix C, funding or subsidies for reducing fossil fuel consumption and non-monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption lead to a significant decrease of 7.003% and 1.740%, respectively, in total energy consumption per capita, suggesting that these policies are effective in reducing energy consumption. However, in contrast, monetary awards for having reduced fossil fuel consumption lead to an increase, rather than a decrease, in total energy consumption per capita, of 4.293%, suggesting that this policy has a possible unintended

or perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing total energy consumption.

To better tease out the channels through which energy-related policies affect energy consumption, we analyze the effects of energy-related policies on consumption of different types of energy. Table 5 presents the IV fixed effects results for the consumption of three types of fossil fuels: coal, crude oil, and natural gas. According to the results, emissions standards for air pollution lead to a significant increase of 8.490% in natural gas consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing fossil fuel consumption have the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase of 1.186% in crude oil consumption per capita.

Table 6 presents the IV fixed effects results for the consumption of four types of fossil fuel distillates: coke, fuel oil, kerosene oil, and diesel oil. According to the results, loans to firms for reducing pollution have a significant negative effect on coke consumption per capita, decreasing coke consumption per capita by 2.650%. Loans to firms for reducing fossil fuel consumption lead to a significant increase of 2.198% in coke consumption per capita. Loans to households for reducing fossil fuel consumption have the perverse consequence of leading to a significant increase of 8.781% in fuel oil consumption per capita. Monetary awards for having reduced pollution lead to a significant decrease of 2.463% in kerosene oil consumption per capita. Providing education and information for energy conservation leads to a significant decrease of 7.593 % in fuel oil consumption per capita. Higher transportation fuels and parts prices lead to a significant decrease in fuel oil consumption per capita. GDP per capita has a significant positive effect on coke consumption per capita and diesel oil consumption per capita.

Table 7 presents the IV fixed effects results for gasoline and electricity consumption. As we have fewer observations for electricity consumption, we put less weight on our electricity consumption regression results. According to the results, funding or subsidies for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant decrease of 5.005% in electricity consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing fossil fuel consumption have the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase of 0.475% in electricity consumption per capita. Monetary awards for having reduced pollution also lead to a significant increase of 0.384% in electricity consumption per capita. Providing education and

information for increasing energy efficiency has the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase of 0.566% in electricity consumption per capita, possibly due to a rebound effect. GDP per capita has a significant positive effect on gasoline consumption per capita.

Table 8 presents the IV fixed effects results for the consumption of three types of biomass energy consumption: biogas, stalks, and firewood. As we have fewer observations for biomass energy consumption, we put less weight on these regression results. According to the results, funding or subsidies for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant decrease of 5.055% in stalks consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing pollution lead to a significant decrease of 2.685% in biogas consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing fossil fuel consumption lead to a significant increase of 2.871% in biogas consumption per capita, 0.475% in stalks consumption per capita, and 1.038% in firewood consumption per capita. Monetary awards for having reduced pollution lead to a significant increase of 0.384% in biogas consumption per capita. Intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant increase of 1.873% in stalks consumption per capita. Providing education and information for increasing energy efficiency leads to a significant increase of 0.566% in stalks consumption per capita, possibly due to a rebound effect.

6. Conclusion

This paper examines the effects of energy-related policies in China on energy consumption. In particular, we collect and construct a novel and comprehensive data set on energy-related policies at the provincial level in China from the 2656 energy-related province-level laws and regulations that are in place for at least one year over the years 2002 to 2013. We construct detailed policy variables for specific types of energy-related command and control policies; financial incentives; awards; intellectual property rights; and education and information policies.

We analyze the marginal effects of each specific type of policy variable on energy

consumption, including various forms of fossil fuel consumption and various forms of biomass energy consumption. We analyze how the different types of energy-related policies affect different types of energy consumption using instruments to address the potential endogeneity of the policies.

According to our results, some types of policies have been effective in reducing energy consumption. Funding or subsidies for reducing fossil fuel consumption, and non-monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption both lead to a significant decrease in total energy consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing pollution have a significant negative effect on coke consumption per capita, and on biogas consumption per capita. Funding or subsidies for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant decrease in electricity consumption per capita and in stalks consumption per capita. Providing education and information for energy conservation leads to a significant decrease in fuel oil consumption per capita.

However, many other policies have the possibly unintended or even perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing energy consumption. Monetary awards for having reduced fossil fuel consumption has a possible unintended or perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing total energy consumption per capita. Loans to firms for reducing fossil fuel consumption have the possibly perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in crude oil consumption per capita, in coke consumption per capita, in electricity consumption per capita, in biogas consumption per capita, in stalks consumption per capita, and in firewood consumption per capita. Similarly, loans to households for reducing fossil fuel consumption have the perverse consequence of leading to a significant increase in fuel oil consumption per capita. Emissions standards for air pollution have the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in natural gas consumption per capita. Intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant increase in stalks consumption per capita.

Providing education and information for increasing energy efficiency has the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in electricity consumption per capita and in stalks

consumption per capita, possibly due to a rebound effect resulting from the adoption of energy efficiency practices and technologies mentioned in the education and information being provided.

Some policies have different effects on different types of energy consumption. Monetary awards for having reduced pollution lead to a significant decrease in kerosene oil consumption per capita but a significant increase in electricity consumption per capita.

In terms of the effects of the economic variables on energy consumption, higher transportation fuels and parts prices lead to a significant decrease in fuel oil consumption per capita. GDP per capita has a significant positive effect on gasoline consumption per capita, on coke consumption per capita, and on diesel oil consumption per capita.

In general, there are several possible reasons why some energy-related policies in China may be ineffective or even have perverse consequences. One possible reason is the rebound effect. Energy-related policies may encourage firms or households to increase the efficiency with which they use energy. At first blush it may seem intuitive that improving the efficiency of energy use will lead to a reduction in energy consumption. Evidence from history and empirical research shows, however, that the actual savings in energy consumption from an increase in energy efficiency can be less than the expected savings.

A “rebound” effect arises when some of the gains from improving the efficiency of energy use is lost because of behavioral responses. For example, a decrease in energy consumption due to efficiency improvement also leads to a reduction in the real cost of energy services per unit, and thus brings about an increase in the demand for energy services. Therefore, the potential energy savings and emissions reduction from efficiency improvement might be offset by responses to the cost reduction (Gillingham et al., 2013; Lin, Yang and Liu, 2013; Zhang and Lin Lawell, 2017). Similarly, an increase in energy efficiency can spur economic growth, either through a reallocation of growth through sectoral reallocation or overall growth through an increase in total factor productivity, and the economic growth requires additional energy consumption (Gillingham, Rapson and Wagner, 2016; Zhang and Lin Lawell, 2017).

In the previous literature, Li and Lin (2015) conduct a detailed analysis of energy

rebound effects in China's economy at the aggregate and sectoral level over 2006-2010, and find that technological advancement has varied impacts on energy conservation and rebound effects at a sectoral level because of varied rates of technological advancement and the complex interdependencies among sectors. Zhang and Lin Lawell (2017) econometrically estimate the macroeconomic energy rebound effect in China, and find that for some years and some provinces, they cannot reject the possibility that improvements in energy efficiency increased rather than decreased energy consumption.

Owing to a rebound effect, energy-related policies that lead to an increase in energy efficiency may be ineffective in reducing energy consumption, or may even have the perverse consequence of increasing rather than decreasing energy consumption.

A rebound effect may at least partially explain our result that – even after controlling for economic variables, province fixed effects, year effects, and other energy-related policies – providing education and information for increasing energy efficiency has the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in electricity consumption per capita and in stalks consumption per capita; after learning about energy efficiency, firms and households may subsequently adopt energy efficiency practices and technologies, leading to a possible rebound effect. A rebound effect may also partially explain our result that intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency lead to a significant increase in stalks consumption per capita.

If some of the gains from improving the efficiency of energy use is lost because of a rebound effect, then, to best benefit from energy efficient technologies, rather than halt the provision of education and information for increasing energy efficiency, and rather than halt intellectual property rights for research and development to increase energy efficiency, policy-makers may wish to consider coupling education about and the development of energy efficient technology with education and information on energy conservation and on cleaner, renewable sources of energy. For example, our results show that providing education and information for energy conservation leads to a significant decrease in fuel oil consumption per capita.

A second possible reason some energy-related policies may be ineffective in reducing

energy consumption is that the reduction of energy consumption is not always the primary goal of energy-related policies. For example, the primary objective of energy-related pollution policies may be to reduce pollution rather than to reduce energy consumption *per se*. It is possible that complying with pollution regulations may actually require an increase in energy consumption. For example, our results show that emissions standards for air pollution have the perverse effect of leading to a significant increase in natural gas consumption per capita.

Another possible objective of energy-related policies may be to mitigate increases in energy consumption that result from economic growth, rather than to reduce energy consumption *per se*. Since we control for GDP per capita in our regressions, the coefficients on our energy-related policy variables measure the marginal effects of the energy-related policies holding GDP per capita constant, and can therefore be interpreted as the marginal effects of the energy-related policies on any energy consumption that is not simply explained by purely by economic growth. Thus, even if the primary objective of energy-related policies were to mitigate increases in energy consumption that result from economic growth, rather than to reduce energy consumption *per se*, the coefficients would still measure how effective the individual policies were in mitigating increases in energy consumption. Our results show that some energy-related policies might be ineffective in not only reducing energy consumption but also in mitigating increases in energy consumption that result from economic growth, and that some energy-related policies may have the unintended or even perverse effect of exacerbating rather than mitigating increases in energy consumption that result from economic growth.

A third possible reason some energy-related policies might be ineffective in China is that having multiple energy-related policies in place may diminish the effectiveness of the individual policies, or even lead to perverse impacts. In the context of overlapping policies for reducing pollution, Novan (2017) finds that if one policy places a binding cap on a subset of pollutants, additional policies to reduce emissions through expansions in renewable electricity have the potential unintended consequence of increasing instead of decreasing unregulated pollutants. It is possible that a similar perverse impact may occur with some overlapping energy-related policies in China as well.

A fourth possible reason why some energy-related policies in China may be ineffective or even have perverse consequences is that coordination problems and conflicting objectives among and within different levels of government, different government sectors, and different government agencies may cause energy-related policies to be ineffective. For renewable energy sources such as wind power, solar power, and bioenergy, coordination problems such as the lack of coordination between projects approved by different levels of government, and between renewable power planning and grid planning, have led to a low proportion of grid-connected renewable energy capacity (Zhang et al., 2013). In the meantime, coordination problems among different government sectors may cause inconsistencies in the energy-related policy due to differences in goals. Even within the same government agency, there may be conflicting goals including the desire for importing advanced technology on the one hand, and the desire for protecting the local energy industry in China on the other (Zhang et al., 2009). Lin, (2010), Lin Lawell (2018a), and Lin Lawell (2018b) examine the optimal distribution of regulatory power among different tiers of government.

A fifth possible reason why some energy-related policies in China may be ineffective is that these policies may be poorly enforced and/or have loopholes. Most firms still regard environmental compliance as a burden, leading to conflicts between firms and the government during the enforcement process (Yang and Yao, 2012). Energy-related policies are also poorly enforced on large state-owned power companies, which generate most of the energy power in China (Zhang et al., 2013). Moreover, anecdotally pollution prevention policies in China have loopholes that enable enterprises and some government departments to avoid implementing them (Chen, 2013). Energy-related policies are also plagued by weak monitoring and insufficient legal enforcement resulting from inadequate human and financial resources as well as from bribery (Richerzhagen et al., 2008).

A sixth possible reason for the ineffectiveness of some energy-related policies is that energy prices in China are often partially controlled by the government. For example, before the 2013 reform in the price of coal for electricity production, there were two different prices for coal: (1) the government-controlled contract price for coal for electricity production, and

(2) the market price for coal. When the market price for coal was much higher than its contract price, coal enterprises were not willing to sell coal to electricity production enterprises, and electricity production enterprises ended up suffering from low electricity prices and high coal prices. These problems in the coal-electricity price linkage mechanism have caused electricity shortages in China in recent years (Zhang et al., 2013) and may have caused energy-related policies to be ineffective. When energy prices are partially controlled by the government rather than determined by the market, government policies may be ineffective.

Thus, there are several possible reasons why some energy-related policies in China may be ineffective or even have perverse consequences. We hope to explore these possible reasons more fully in future work.

As the focus of this paper is on the marginal effects of different types of energy-related policies when considering and controlling for a full and comprehensive set of all energy-related policies in place, we have opted to use simple measures of each type of policy in favor of being able to include a comprehensive set of many policies. In future work we hope to develop measures to quantify the magnitude and/or stringency of the policies, particularly for the subset of policies we have found in this paper to have significant effects on energy consumption.

Our results on the mixed effectiveness of energy-related policies in China in reducing energy consumption have important implications for the design of energy-related policies in China and elsewhere.

References

- Auffhammer, M., Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C., Bushnell, J., Deschênes, O., and Zhang, J. (2016). Chapter 4. Economic considerations: Cost-effective and efficient climate policies. In V. Ramanathan (Ed.), Bending the Curve: Ten scalable solutions for carbon neutrality and climate stability. Collabra, 2 (1), Article 18, 1-14.
- Barker, T., Ekins, P., and Foxon, T. (2007). Macroeconomic effects of efficiency policies for energy-intensive industries: the case of the UK climate change agreements, 2000–2010. Energy Economics, 29, 760-778.
- Beaudoin, J., Chen, Y., Heres, D.R., Kheiravar, K.H., Yi, F., Zhang, W., and C.-Y. Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C. (2018). Environmental policies in the transportation sector: Taxes, subsidies, mandates, restrictions, and investment. Working paper, Cornell University.
- Benazeraf, D. (2017). Commentary: Heating Chinese cities while enhancing air quality. International Energy Agency. URL: <https://www.iea.org/newsroom/news/2017/december/commentary-heating-chinese-cities-while-enhancing-air-quality.html>
- Blackman, A., Li, Z., and Liu, A.A. (2018). Efficacy of command-and-control and market-based environmental regulation in developing countries. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 10, 20.1-20.24.
- Cao, J., Ho, M.S., and Liang, H. (2016). Household energy demand in urban China: Accounting for regional prices and rapid income change. Energy Journal, 37 (S11), 87-110.
- Chen, J.P. (2013). Development, problems and improvement direction of China environment regulation in the new century. [In Chinese]. <http://www.cet.com.cn/wzsy/gysd/844928.shtml>
- Fell, H. and Linn, J. (2013). Renewable electricity policies, heterogeneity, and cost effectiveness. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 66 (3), 688-707.
- Fisher-Vanden, K., Hu, Y., Jefferson, G., Rock, M., and Toman, M. (2016). Factors influencing energy intensity in four Chinese industries. Energy Journal, 37 (S11), 153-

178.

- Gillingham, K., Newell, R., and Palmer, K. (2006). Energy efficiency policies: a retrospective examination. Annual Review of the Environment and Resources, 31, 161-192.
- Gillingham, K., Kotchen, M., Rapson, D., and Wagner, G. (2013). The rebound effect is overplayed. Nature, 493, 475-476.
- Gillingham, K., Rapson, D., and Wagner, G. (2016). The rebound effect and energy efficiency policy. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 10 (1), 68-88.
- International Energy Agency [IEA]. (1999). World energy outlook – 1999 insights, Looking at energy subsidies: Getting the prices right. OECD, Paris.
- Jiang, Z., and Lin, B. (2014). The perverse fossil fuel subsidies in China—The scale and effects. Energy, 70, 411-419.
- Lade, G.E., Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C., and Smith, A. (2018). Designing climate policy: Lessons from the Renewable Fuel Standard and the blend wall. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 100 (2), 585-599.
- Lawtime. (2017). <http://law.lawtime.cn>.
- Li, K., and Lin, B. (2015). Heterogeneity in rebound effects: Estimated results and impact of China's fossil-fuel subsidies. Applied Energy, 149, 148-160.
- Lin, B., and Jiang, Z. (2011). Estimates of energy subsidies in China and impact of energy subsidy reform. Energy Economics, 33 (2), 273-283.
- Lin, B., Jiang, Z. and Lin, J. (2009). Targeted power price subsidies are helpful for energy fairness and efficiency [In Chinese]. Journal of Financial Research, 2009 (11), 1-18.
- Lin, B.Q., Yang, F., and Liu, X. (2013). A study of the rebound effect on China's current energy conservation and emissions reduction: Measures and policy choices. Energy, 58 (1), 330-339.
- Lin, C.-Y.C. (2010). How should standards be set and met?: On the allocation of regulatory power in a federal system. B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis and Policy: Topics, 10 (1), Article 51.
- Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C. (2018a). A theory of regulatory federalism. Working paper, Cornell

University.

- Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C. (2018b). On the optimal allocation of regulatory power for public goods provision. Working paper, Cornell University.
- Lin, C.-Y.C., and Zeng, J.(J.). (2014). The optimal gasoline tax for China. Theoretical Economics Letters, 4 (4), 270-278.
- Lin, C.-Y.C., and Zeng, J.(J.). (2013). The elasticity of demand for gasoline in China. Energy Policy, 59, 189-197.
- Liu, C., and Lin, B. (2018). Analysis of the changes in the scale of natural gas subsidy in China and its decomposition factors. Energy Economics, 70, 37-44.
- Liu, W., and Li, H. (2011). Improving energy consumption structure: A comprehensive assessment of fossil energy subsidies reform in China. Energy Policy, 39, 4134-4143.
- Novan, K. (2017). Overlapping environmental policies and the impact on pollution. Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists, 4 (S1), S153-S199.
- Ouyang, X., and Lin, B. (2014). Impacts of increasing renewable energy subsidies and phasing out fossil fuel subsidies in China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 37, 933-942.
- Parry, I., Heine, D., Lis, E., and Li, S. (2014). How should different countries tax fuels to correct environmental externalities? Economics of Energy and Environmental Policy, 3 (2), 61-78.
- Popp, D. (2002). Induced innovation and energy prices. American Economic Review, 92 (1), 160-180.
- Reguant, M. (forthcoming). The efficiency and sectoral distributional implications of large-scale renewable policies. Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists.
- Rehme, G. (2011). Endogenous policy and cross-country growth empirics. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 58 (2), 262-296.
- Richerzhagen, C., Tabea von Frieling, Hansen, N., Minaret, A., Netzer, N., and Rubbild, J., (2008). Energy Efficiency in Buildings in China: Policies, Barriers and Opportunities.

- German Development Institute, Bonne, Germany.
- Scott, M.J., Roop, J.M., Schultz, R.W., Anderson, D.M., and Cort, K.A. (2008). The impact of DOE building technology energy efficiency programs on U.S. employment, income and investment. Energy Economics, 30 (2), 2283-2301.
- Si, S., Chalfant, J.A., Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C., and Yi, F. (2018). The effects of China's biofuel policies on agricultural and ethanol markets. Working paper, Cornell University.
- Si, S., Lyu, M., Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C., and Chen, S. (2018). The effects of energy policies in China on GDP, industrial output, and new energy profits. Working paper, Cornell University.
- Weyant, J.P., and Olavson, T. (1999). Issues in modeling induced technological change in energy: environmental and climate policy. Environmental Modeling and Assessment, 4 (2-3), 67-85.
- Williams, R.C., III. (2017). Environmental taxation. In A. Auerbach and K. Smetters (Eds.), The Economics of Tax Policy. Oxford University Press.
- Yang, X., and Yao, Y. (2012). Environmental Compliance and Firm Performance: Evidence from China. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 74 (3), 397-424.
- Zhang, J., and Lin Lawell, C.-Y.C. (2017). The macroeconomic rebound effect in China. Energy Economics, 67, 202-212.
- Zhang, S.F., Andrews, P.S., and Zhao, X.L. (2013). Political and institutional analysis of the successes and failures of China's wind power policy. Energy Policy, 56, 331-340.
- Zhang, P.D., Yang, Y.L., Tian, Y.S., Yang, X.T., Zhang, Y.K., Zheng, Y.H., and Wang, L.S. (2009). Bioenergy industries development in China: Dilemma and solution. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 13, 257102579.
- Zhang, P.D., Yang, Y.L., Shi, J., Zheng, Y.H., Wang, L.S., and Li, X.R. (2009). Opportunities and challenges for renewable energy policy in China. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 13, 439-449.
- Zhang, Z.K., Guo, J., Qian, D., Xue, Y., and Cai, L.P. (2013). Effects and mechanism of influence of China's resource tax reform: A regional perspective. Energy Economics, 36,

676-685.

Table 1. Summary statistics for energy consumption

	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Total energy consumption per capita (tce)	136	3.312	3.716	1.01	28.392
Coal consumption per capita (tce)	358	2.614	4.031	0.281	55.016
Crude oil consumption per capita (tce)	328	0.530	0.508	0.000005	2.279
Natural gas consumption per capita (tce)	346	0.142	0.170	0.00000003	0.956
Coke consumption per capita (tce)	358	0.225	0.213	0.000002	1.127
Fuel oil consumption per capita (tce)	358	0.050	0.101	0.00001	0.652
Kerosene consumption per capita (tce)	351	0.028	0.061	0.000	0.332
Diesel consumption per capita (tce)	358	0.162	0.092	0.024	0.542
Gasoline consumption per capita (tce)	358	0.095	0.065	0.017	0.324
Electricity consumption per capita (tce)	174	0.121	0.075	0.011	0.536
Biogas consumption per capita (tce)	172	0.003	0.004	0.000003	0.019
Stalks consumption per capita (tce)	174	0.121	0.075	0.011	0.536
Firewood consumption per capita (tce)	170	0.085	0.072	0.0002	0.455

Notes: Data are in tons coal equivalent (tce). The data consists of annual province-level data over the period 2002 to 2013.

Table 2. Summary statistics for policy variables

	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	360	0.783	0.413
Technology standard	360	0.600	0.491
Renewable electricity mandate	360	0.619	0.486
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	360	0.508	0.501
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	360	0.792	0.407
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	360	0.494	0.501
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	360	0.381	0.486
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	360	0.325	0.469
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	360	0.394	0.489
For increasing energy efficiency	360	0.494	0.501
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	360	0.439	0.497
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	360	0.367	0.483
For increasing energy efficiency	360	0.531	0.500
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	360	0.572	0.495
For increasing renewable energy consumption	360	0.289	0.454
For energy conservation	360	0.722	0.449

Financial incentives: Loans to households

For reducing fossil fuel consumption 360 0.447 0.498

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution 360 0.411 0.493

For having reduced fossil fuel consumption 360 0.358 0.480

For having increased renewable energy consumption 360 0.278 0.449

For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency 360 0.244 0.430

For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption 360 0.258 0.438

For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy 360 0.572 0.495

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution 360 0.475 0.500

For having increased energy efficiency 360 0.406 0.492

For having reduced fossil fuel consumption 360 0.581 0.494

For having developed technology to reduce pollution 360 0.278 0.449

For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption 360 0.256 0.437

For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption 360 0.400 0.491

For having reduced energy consumption 360 0.536 0.499

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency 360 0.300 0.459

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution 360 0.492 0.501

For increasing energy efficiency 360 0.383 0.487

For increasing renewable energy consumption 360 0.511 0.501

For energy conservation	360	0.422	0.495
For managing energy	360	0.594	0.492

Note: The data consists of annual province-level data over the period 2002 to 2013.

Table 3. Summary statistics for economic variables

	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	360	105.831	6.293	88.188	117.791
Residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	360	104.595	3.641	92.170	117.566
Fuel retail price index	360	107.648	6.842	85.177	125.076
GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	360	2.220	1.557	0.324	7.733

Note: The data consists of annual province-level data over the period 2002 to 2013.

Table 4. Angrist-Pischke First-Stage F-statistics

Angrist-Pischke First-Stage F-Statistic	
<i>Command and control</i>	
Emissions standard for air pollution	34.79
Technology standard	49.00
Renewable electricity mandate	25.54
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>	
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	23.38
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	48.60
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	74.07
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	38.96
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	49.31
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	54.53
For increasing energy efficiency	15.45
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	94.85
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>	
For reducing pollution	91.07
For increasing energy efficiency	118.70
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	46.63
For increasing renewable energy consumption	8.16
For energy conservation	9.93
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	50.29
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	18.89
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	151.82
For having increased renewable energy consumption	22.34
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	247.05
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	20.86
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	30.57
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	97.64
For having increased energy efficiency	61.03
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	32.43

For having developed technology to reduce pollution	247.24
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	21.79
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	21.03
For having reduced energy consumption	21.26

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	135.74
--	--------

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	75.13
For increasing energy efficiency	11.47
For increasing renewable energy consumption	24.89
For energy conservation	14.77
For managing energy	35.96

Note: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$.

Table 5. Results for fossil fuel consumption

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>		
	<i>Log coal consumption per capita (1)</i>	<i>Log crude oil consumption per capita (2)</i>	<i>Log natural gas consumption per capita (3)</i>
<u>Policy Variables</u>			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	-1.147 (0.838)	-1.236 (1.023)	8.490** (2.751)
Technology standard	1.975 (2.288)	-0.434 (1.304)	0.932 (4.686)
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.622 (0.371)	0.457 (0.582)	0.779 (1.764)
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.062 (0.374)	-0.635 (1.363)	-2.011 (1.739)
For conserving energy	-1.732 (2.337)	4.010 (2.368)	-5.260 (4.720)
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	2.149 (2.213)	0.842 (4.418)	-13.535 (12.940)
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.305 (1.478)	1.639 (4.176)	-0.902 (5.453)
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.683 (1.376)	-3.406 (4.252)	-0.948 (5.534)
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	1.272 (0.863)	-0.608 (0.646)	0.345 (4.205)
For increasing energy efficiency	-1.151 (0.778)	1.011 (0.651)	0.596 (3.579)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-3.974 (2.376)	5.890 (4.247)	-6.700 (8.987)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	0.045 (0.860)	-1.008 (0.736)	5.480 (4.070)
For increasing energy efficiency	-1.350 (0.781)	-0.392 (1.604)	2.705 (3.693)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.126 (0.778)	1.186* (0.599)	-5.100 (3.559)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.655 (0.452)	-0.425 (0.415)	1.852 (1.757)
For energy conservation	2.775 (3.236)	-2.652 (2.571)	8.542 (8.246)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	1.130 (1.081)	0.559 (1.076)	7.350 (4.601)

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	-0.185 (0.488)	1.577 (0.810)	1.176 (1.579)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	1.871 (1.952)	5.598 (7.895)	-7.458 (10.085)
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.022 (0.269)	-0.775 (0.370)	0.966 (1.270)
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	1.777 (1.037)	-6.014 (8.638)	0.298 (5.370)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-1.503 (1.288)	3.021 (4.349)	-7.479 (7.336)
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.616 (1.520)	0.382 (2.159)	-3.642 (7.041)

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	0.110 (0.702)	-2.001 (1.138)	-0.684 (2.307)
For having increased energy efficiency	0.324 (0.294)	0.737 (0.509)	-1.114 (1.233)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.354 (0.930)	-0.098 (0.845)	9.660 (13.338)
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-1.761 (1.838)	0.556 (1.786)	-2.607 (10.146)
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.800 (0.449)	-0.220 (0.387)	0.961 (1.092)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	2.109 (1.439)	-1.533 (4.689)	4.742 (7.450)

For having reduced energy consumption	1.296 (0.972)	-0.843 (1.243)	1.495 (5.140)
---------------------------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.953 (2.820)	2.406 (2.751)	2.488 (14.446)
--	------------------	------------------	-------------------

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-1.332 (0.770)	-1.013 (2.803)	7.427 (4.609)
------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------

For increasing energy efficiency	0.334 (0.443)	-0.313 (0.376)	0.230 (1.490)
----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.187 (0.317)	-0.224 (0.425)	1.090 (1.879)
---	------------------	-------------------	------------------

For energy conservation	-0.591 (0.823)	0.401 (0.405)	-2.658 (3.880)
-------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

For managing energy	1.269 (1.279)	0.564 (1.965)	-7.571 (5.695)
---------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------

Economic Variables

Log transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	-0.428 (4.390)	-1.704 (6.067)	-36.715 (28.380)
---	-------------------	-------------------	---------------------

Log residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	6.563 (8.481)	12.859 (7.827)	-11.537 (26.961)
--	------------------	-------------------	---------------------

Log fuel retail price index	0.624	-2.628	43.339
-----------------------------	-------	--------	--------

Log GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	(6.108)	(7.172)	(37.318)
	1.070	-0.388	-0.077
	(0.434)	(0.456)	(1.753)
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	303	325
R-squared	0.1915	0.1223	-0.4614

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table 6. Results for fossil fuel distillates

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>			
	<i>Log coke consumption per capita (6)</i>	<i>Log fuel oil consumption per capita (7)</i>	<i>Log kerosene oil consumption per capita (8)</i>	<i>Log diesel oil consumption per capita (9)</i>
<u>Policy Variables</u>				
<i>Command and control</i>				
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.005 (1.065)	-1.541 (2.068)	-1.701 (1.504)	-0.377 (0.412)
Technology standard	-1.760 (2.459)	1.045 (3.985)	-0.424 (1.942)	0.077 (0.789)
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.298 (0.527)	1.552 (1.387)	0.961 (0.949)	-0.246 (0.153)
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>				
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.853 (0.557)	0.669 (1.259)	-0.973 (0.704)	0.140 (0.169)
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	1.015 (2.206)	0.022 (3.691)	0.724 (1.508)	0.532 (0.713)
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	1.924 (2.594)	4.986 (6.292)	-0.361 (4.441)	-0.377 (0.894)
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>				

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-1.593 (1.387)	3.045 (4.599)	-2.051 (3.311)	-0.848 (0.612)
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.274 (1.403)	-6.645 (4.528)	1.419 (2.948)	1.019 (0.632)
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.752 (0.826)	5.968 (3.246)	0.588 (1.896)	0.176 (0.367)
For increasing energy efficiency	0.844 (0.921)	-3.210 (2.742)	0.343 (1.879)	0.026 (0.353)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-1.474 (2.549)	-10.857 (6.603)	1.006 (5.379)	0.956 (0.964)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>				
For reducing pollution	-2.650* (1.269)	5.160 (3.162)	2.919 (2.141)	-0.155 (0.349)
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.599 (0.748)	-1.372 (1.984)	2.105 (1.323)	-0.156 (0.355)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	2.198* (1.104)	-5.334 (3.136)	-2.079 (2.101)	-0.168 (0.308)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.162 (0.527)	-0.070 (0.969)	-0.131 (0.651)	-0.194 (0.270)
For energy conservation	-1.536 (3.419)	0.560 (5.733)	0.483 (3.048)	-0.063 (1.091)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>				
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.545 (1.342)	8.781* (4.239)	1.728 (2.721)	-0.115 (0.459)

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	-0.100	0.931	-2.463*	-0.239
	(0.414)	(1.635)	(1.051)	(0.246)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-1.425	8.716	-3.130	-0.886
	(2.026)	(6.402)	(4.912)	(0.863)
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.229	0.238	0.497	-0.160
	(0.252)	(0.960)	(0.870)	(0.147)
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	1.736	7.080	2.868	0.220
	(1.285)	(4.241)	(2.330)	(0.437)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.149	-4.411	1.422	0.193
	(1.180)	(4.038)	(2.743)	(0.594)
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.840	-4.415	2.678	0.646
	(1.253)	(4.079)	(2.849)	(0.623)

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	0.570	-1.416	1.494	0.270
	(0.666)	(2.072)	(1.298)	(0.292)
For having increased energy efficiency	0.392	0.903	-0.022	0.113
	(0.296)	(1.243)	(0.921)	(0.183)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.099	1.229	-3.355	-0.034
	(1.023)	(2.324)	(2.700)	(0.475)
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.424	-10.795	-1.929	0.431
	(1.999)	(6.674)	(4.387)	(0.825)
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.535	-0.429	0.515	-0.139
	(0.413)	(0.652)	(0.364)	(0.132)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.491	6.011	-1.573	-0.392
	(1.306)	(4.542)	(2.883)	(0.594)

For having reduced energy consumption	-0.414 (1.048)	4.750 (3.433)	-2.991 (2.266)	-0.079 (0.503)
---------------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-2.211 (2.854)	11.176 (9.170)	-3.812 (5.769)	-1.407 (1.088)
--	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-0.612 (0.972)	-2.121 (2.386)	-1.096 (1.931)	-0.418 (0.355)
------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

For increasing energy efficiency	-0.681 (0.558)	0.099 (0.705)	0.539 (0.434)	0.206 (0.177)
----------------------------------	-------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.330 (0.378)	-0.189 (1.146)	-0.404 (0.877)	-0.051 (0.153)
---	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

For energy conservation	-1.281 (0.855)	-7.593* (3.518)	-1.969 (1.691)	-0.030 (0.337)
-------------------------	-------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------

For managing energy	0.620 (1.166)	1.109 (3.350)	0.671 (2.517)	0.355 (0.436)
---------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

Economic Variables

Log transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	3.795 (6.569)	-29.193* (13.757)	6.756 (9.694)	0.547 (2.467)
---	------------------	----------------------	------------------	------------------

Log residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	2.792 (8.367)	-7.978 (16.832)	2.399 (11.287)	0.384 (3.301)
--	------------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------------

Log fuel retail price index	-6.283	31.545	-8.339	-1.913
-----------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------

	(7.390)	(17.655)	(14.662)	(3.064)
Log GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	1.917*	-0.353	0.049	1.273***
	(0.753)	(1.625)	(0.961)	(0.180)
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	322	330
R-squared	0.2136	-1.6641	-0.2666	0.7603

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table 7. Results for gasoline and electricity consumption

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>	
	<i>Log gasoline consumption per capita (4)</i>	<i>Log electricity consumption per capita (5)</i>
<u>Policy Variables</u>		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.284 (0.332)	0.251 (0.314)
Technology standard	-0.206 (0.574)	-0.046 (0.281)
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.292 (0.210)	0.378 (0.283)
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.052 (0.188)	-0.305 (0.673)
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.556 (0.603)	1.890 (1.100)
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.609 (1.085)	-3.583 (1.871)
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.385	-5.005**

	(0.623)	(1.722)
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.144	4.198
	(0.536)	(1.771)
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.198	0.088
	(0.462)	(0.340)
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.056	0.372
	(0.347)	(0.631)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.398	1.107
	(0.772)	(1.561)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution	0.128	-0.261
	(0.461)	(0.328)
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.256	0.630
	(0.362)	(0.620)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.549	0.475*
	(0.339)	(0.228)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.059	0.144
	(0.230)	(0.133)
For energy conservation	-0.464	-1.791
	(0.962)	(1.218)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.061	
	(0.548)	

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	-0.122 (0.292)	0.384* (0.182)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.812 (1.068)	-1.535 (1.279)
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.149 (0.172)	-0.233 (0.261)
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.279 (0.605)	-1.480 (1.607)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.570 (0.497)	0.248 (0.442)
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.546 (0.727)	0.137 (0.500)
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	-0.025 (0.272)	
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.390 (0.229)	0.278 (0.443)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.019 (0.436)	2.126 (1.371)
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-1.047 (1.005)	
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.107 (0.106)	0.020 (0.230)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.245 (0.533)	
For having reduced energy consumption	0.211	0.029

	(0.484)	(0.366)
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	1.364	1.873
	(1.374)	(0.940)
<i>Provide education and information</i>		
For reducing pollution	-0.173	-0.066
	(0.472)	(0.420)
For increasing energy efficiency	0.044	0.566*
	(0.136)	(0.231)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.231	0.139
	(0.191)	(0.175)
For energy conservation	-0.627	-0.025
	(0.503)	(0.206)
For managing energy	0.774	
	(0.659)	
<u>Economic Variables</u>		
Log transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	0.994	4.342
	(2.479)	(5.259)
Log residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	-0.891	1.563
	(2.425)	(4.100)
Log fuel retail price index	-2.689	1.841
	(2.925)	(2.882)

Log GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	0.776*** (0.235)	1.150 (1.014)
Province fixed effects	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y
Observations	330	145
R-squared	0.5562	0.2837

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. For the electricity consumption regression, the policy variables for loans to households for reducing pollution; non-monetary awards for having reduced pollution; non-monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce pollution; having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and education and information for managing energy are dropped owing to collinearity in the smaller sample size of data available for electricity consumption. Significance codes: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table 8. Results for biomass energy consumption

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>		
	<i>Log biogas consumption per capita</i> (10)	<i>Log stalks consumption per capita</i> (11)	<i>Log firewood consumption per capita</i> (12)
<u>Policy Variables</u>			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.500 (0.465)	0.251 (0.314)	-0.592 (0.485)
Technology standard	-0.620 (0.760)	-0.046 (0.281)	-0.628 (0.438)
Renewable electricity mandate	-1.443 (1.160)	0.378 (0.283)	0.135 (0.598)
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-1.295 (0.998)	-0.305 (0.673)	-0.687 (0.734)
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	2.480 (1.549)	1.890 (1.100)	0.476 (1.064)
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.750 (2.470)	-3.583 (1.871)	0.421 (1.756)
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-2.950 (4.350)	-5.005** (1.722)	-4.714 (2.571)
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.402 (4.424)	4.198 (1.771)	3.994 (2.615)
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	1.504 (0.824)	0.088 (0.340)	0.283 (0.381)
For increasing energy efficiency	2.961 (2.785)	0.372 (0.631)	1.743 (1.498)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	1.186 (1.921)	1.107 (1.561)	-2.034 (1.980)
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-2.685** (0.934)	-0.261 (0.328)	-0.895 (0.517)
For increasing energy efficiency	0.934 (0.789)	0.630 (0.620)	0.103 (0.532)
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	2.871** (0.921)	0.475* (0.228)	1.038* (0.446)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.019 (0.363)	0.144 (0.133)	0.316 (0.276)
For energy conservation	-2.216 (1.691)	-1.791 (1.218)	-0.464 (1.169)
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.186 (0.497)	0.384* (0.182)	0.300 (0.321)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	2.311	-1.535	0.634

	(3.472)	(1.279)	(2.267)
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.683	-0.233	-0.955
	(0.736)	(0.261)	(0.527)
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-4.476	-1.480	0.526
	(3.948)	(1.607)	(2.377)
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	1.515	0.248	-0.855
	(1.640)	(0.442)	(0.944)
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.344	0.137	-0.057
	(0.971)	(0.500)	(0.601)
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.036	0.278	0.355
	(0.561)	(0.443)	(0.604)
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.333	2.126	1.384
	(0.863)	(1.371)	(0.869)
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.476	0.020	-0.905
	(0.409)	(0.230)	(0.666)
For having reduced energy consumption	0.262	0.029	0.590
	(0.693)	(0.366)	(0.467)
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	1.941	1.873*	0.000
	(1.416)	(0.940)	(0.900)
<i>Provide education and information</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.464	-0.066	0.298
	(0.948)	(0.420)	(0.621)

For increasing energy efficiency	-0.177 (0.382)	0.566* (0.231)	-0.221 (0.370)
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.575 (0.480)	0.139 (0.175)	0.083 (0.203)
For energy conservation	-0.705 (0.679)	-0.025 (0.206)	0.190 (0.246)

Economic Variables

Log transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	-17.714 (12.272)	4.342 (5.259)	-7.787 (7.649)
Log residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	-7.781 (7.200)	1.563 (4.100)	-6.868 (6.547)
Log fuel retail price index	4.500 (4.983)	1.841 (2.882)	1.387 (3.087)
Log GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	2.501 (3.137)	1.150 (1.014)	-1.009 (2.334)

Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y

Observations	143	145	142
R-squared	0.5607	0.2837	0.1160

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of

that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Policy variables for loans to households for reducing pollution; non-monetary awards for having reduced pollution; non-monetary awards for having developed technology to reduce pollution; having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption; and education and information for managing energy are dropped owing to collinearity in the smaller sample size of data available for biomass energy consumption. Significance codes: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Appendix A

Table A1. Within and between variation for energy consumption

		Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	# Obs
Coal consumption per capita (tce)	overall	2.614	4.031	0.281	55.016	358
	between		2.223	0.556	10.590	
	within		3.389	-4.066	47.039	
Crude oil consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.530	0.508	0.000	2.279	328
	between		0.485	0.000	1.919	
	within		0.177	-0.374	1.105	
Natural gas consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.142	0.170	0.000	0.956	346
	between		0.153	0.005	0.585	
	within		0.078	-0.160	0.513	
Coke consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.225	0.213	0.000	1.127	358
	between		0.188	0.009	0.771	
	within		0.105	-0.285	0.581	
Fuel oil consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.050	0.101	0.000	0.652	358
	between		0.097	0.002	0.515	
	within		0.031	-0.108	0.251	
Kerosene consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.028	0.061	0.000	0.332	351
	between		0.059	0.001	0.244	
	within		0.018	-0.091	0.116	
Diesel consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.162	0.092	0.024	0.542	358
	between		0.073	0.071	0.340	
	within		0.057	-0.111	0.371	
Gasoline consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.095	0.065	0.017	0.324	358
	between		0.057	0.034	0.254	
	within		0.031	-0.004	0.201	

Electricity consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.121	0.075	0.011	0.536	174
	between		0.063	0.022	0.268	
	within		0.042	0.039	0.462	
Biogas consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.003	0.004	0.000	0.019	172
	between		0.004	0.000	0.014	
	within		0.002	-0.002	0.010	
Stalks consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.121	0.075	0.011	0.536	174
	between		0.063	0.022	0.268	
	within		0.042	0.039	0.462	
Firewood consumption per capita (tce)	overall	0.085	0.072	0.000	0.455	170
	between		0.062	0.008	0.268	
	within		0.040	-0.103	0.318	

Notes: “Within” variation is the variation in the energy consumption variable across years for a given province. “Between” variation is the variation in the energy consumption variable across provinces for a given year.

Table A2. Command and control policy variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

	<i>Command and control</i>			
	Ambient air quality standard	Ambient water quality standard	Emissions standard for water pollution	Fuel mandate
Anhui	1	1	1	1
Beijing	1	1	1	
Chongqing	1	1		
Fujian	1	1	1	1
Gansu	0	0	1	1
Guangdong	1	1	1	1
Guangxi	1	1	1	1
Guizhou	0	0	1	1
Hainan	1	1	1	1
Hebei	1	1	1	0
Heilongjiang		0	0	0
Henan	1	1	1	1
Hubei	1	1	1	1
Hunan	1	1	1	1
Inner Mongolia	0	0	0	0
Jiangsu	1	1	1	1
Jiangxi	1	1	1	0
Jilin	0	0	1	0
Liaoning	1	1	1	0

Ningxia	0	0	0	1
Qinghai	0	0	0	1
Shaanxi	0	0	1	1
Shandong	1	1	1	1
Shanghai	1	1	1	1
Shanxi				0
Sichuan	1	1	1	1
Tianjin	1	1	1	1
Xinjiang	0	0	1	1
Yunnan	0	0	0	1
Zhejiang	1	1	1	1

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell.

Table A3. Tax variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
	Favorable tax treatment for reducing pollution	Tax on water pollution emissions
Anhui	0	0
Beijing	0	0
Chongqing	0	0
Fujian	0	0
Gansu	0	1
Guangdong	1	1
Guangxi	1	0
Guizhou	0	1
Hainan	1	0
Hebei	0	0
Heilongjiang	0	0
Henan	1	1
Hubei	1	0
Hunan	0	0
Inner Mongolia	0	0
Jiangsu	0	0
Jiangxi	1	0
Jilin	0	0
Liaoning	1	0
Ningxia	0	1
Qinghai	0	1
Shaanxi	0	1
Shandong	1	1
Shanghai	1	0
Shanxi	0	0
Sichuan	1	0
Tianjin	1	
Xinjiang	0	1
Yunnan		1
Zhejiang	1	0

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell

Table A4. Funding or subsidies policy variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

	<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
	For research and development to reduce pollution	For reducing pollution	For energy conservation
Anhui	1	1	1
Beijing	1	1	1
Chongqing	1	0	1
Fujian	1	1	1
Gansu	1	0	0
Guangdong	1	1	1
Guangxi	1	1	1
Guizhou	1	1	0
Hainan	1	1	1
Hebei	1		0
Heilongjiang	1	0	0
Henan	1	1	1
Hubei	1	0	0
Hunan	1	0	0
Inner Mongolia	0	0	0
Jiangsu	1	1	1
Jiangxi	1	0	0
Jilin	1	0	0
Liaoning	1	0	0

Ningxia	1	0	0
Qinghai	1	0	0
Shaanxi	1	0	0
Shandong	1	1	1
Shanghai	1		
Shanxi	1	0	
Sichuan	1		1
Tianjin	1	1	1
Xinjiang	1	0	0
Yunnan	1	0	0
Zhejiang	1	0	0

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell.

Table A5. Loans to households policy variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
	For increasing energy efficiency	For increasing renewable energy consumption
Anhui	0	0
Beijing		0
Chongqing	0	0
Fujian	0	0
Gansu	1	0
Guangdong	1	1
Guangxi	1	1
Guizhou	1	0
Hainan		
Hebei	0	0
Heilongjiang	0	0
Henan	1	1
Hubei	0	0
Hunan	0	1
Inner Mongolia	0	0
Jiangsu	0	0
Jiangxi	0	0
Jilin	0	0
Liaoning	0	1
Ningxia	0	0

Qinghai	0	0
Shaanxi	0	0
Shandong	1	1
Shanghai	0	0
Shanxi	0	0
Sichuan	0	1
Tianjin	0	0
Xinjiang	0	0
Yunnan	1	0
Zhejiang	0	0

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell.

Table A6. Monetary awards policy variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
	For having increased energy efficiency	For having developed technology to reduce pollution	For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption
Anhui	0	0	0
Beijing	0	0	0
Chongqing	0	1	0
Fujian	1	0	
Gansu	0	0	0
Guangdong	1	1	1
Guangxi		0	0
Guizhou	0	0	0
Hainan		0	0
Hebei	0	0	0
Heilongjiang	0	0	0
Henan	1	0	0
Hubei	0	0	0
Hunan	1	0	0
Inner Mongolia	0	0	0
Jiangsu	0	0	0
Jiangxi	0		0
Jilin	0	0	0
Liaoning	0	0	0

Ningxia	0	0	0
Qinghai	0	0	0
Shaanxi	0	0	0
Shandong	1	1	1
Shanghai	1	1	0
Shanxi	1	0	0
Sichuan	1	1	1
Tianjin	1		
Xinjiang	0	0	0
Yunnan	0	0	0
Zhejiang	1	1	0

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell.

Table A7. Intellectual property rights policy variables that we dropped from the empirical analysis because they constant for at least 28 out of the 30 provinces

	<i>Intellectual property rights for research and development to:</i>			
	Reduce pollution	Reduce fossil fuel consumption	Increase renewable energy consumption	Other
Anhui	1	1	1	1
Beijing	1	1	1	1
Chongqing	1	0	0	1
Fujian	1	1	1	1
Gansu	0	1	0	1
Guangdong	1	1	1	0
Guangxi	1	1	0	0
Guizhou	0	1	0	0
Hainan		1	1	0
Hebei	0	0	0	0
Heilongjiang	0	0	0	1
Henan	1	1	1	1
Hubei	0	0	0	0
Hunan	0	0	0	0
Inner Mongolia	1	0	0	0
Jiangsu		1	1	1
Jiangxi	0	0	1	
Jilin	0	0		1
Liaoning	0	0	0	1

Ningxia	0	1	0	0
Qinghai	0	1	0	
Shaanxi	1	1	0	1
Shandong		1	1	1
Shanghai	0	1	0	0
Shanxi	1	0	0	1
Sichuan	0	0	1	1
Tianjin	1			1
Xinjiang	0	1	0	1
Yunnan	0	1	0	1
Zhejiang	0	1	0	0

Notes: For each type of policy, provinces that have that type of policy for every year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “1”; provinces that never have that type of policy for any year during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with “0”; and provinces that have that type of policy for some years but not others during the 2002-2013 period are indicated with a blank cell.

Appendix B

Table B1. First-stage regressions for Command and Control policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>		
	<i>Emissions standard for air pollution</i>	<i>Technology standard</i>	<i>Renewable electricity mandate</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.626**	-0.100	-0.180
Technology standard	-0.036	-0.461*	0.054
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.005	-0.007	-0.313**
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.047	-0.018	-0.081
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.058	0.086	0.082
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.037	0.027	-0.052
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.051	0.207	-0.204
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.137	0.006	0.122
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.206*	0.121	0.117
For increasing energy efficiency	0.042	-0.270*	-0.108
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.142	-0.245	0.030
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.105	0.161	-0.036
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.182*	0.106	0.047

For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.057	-0.203	-0.047
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.147	0.008	-0.018
For energy conservation	-0.136	-0.061	0.084
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.214	-0.041	0.177
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.005	-0.024	0.133
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.237*	0.086	-0.136
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.013	0.005	0.026
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.074	-0.100	0.053
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.089	-0.474**	-0.279
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.100	-0.109*	-0.140
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.025	-0.035	-0.138
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.015	-0.011	-0.041
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.064	0.012	0.105
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.074	-0.060	0.070
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.012	0.041	-0.038
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.076	0.480**	-0.016
For having reduced energy consumption	0.017	0.204*	-0.088
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.095	0.152	-0.304

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-0.041	0.098	0.006
For increasing energy efficiency	0.045	0.033	-0.001
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.006	0.005	0.056
For energy conservation	0.077	0.009	-0.073
For managing energy	0.158	-0.163*	-0.263
Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B2. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Taxes policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is:</i>		
	<i>Favorable tax treatment for: Increasing energy efficiency</i>	<i>Conserving energy</i>	<i>Tax on: Fossil fuel consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.083	-0.433*	-0.137
Technology standard	0.001	-0.286	0.087
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.007	-0.047	0.035
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.607***	-0.012	0.006
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	-0.136	-0.444*	-0.118
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.032	0.180	-0.333
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.070	0.065	-0.020
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.112	-0.084	0.118
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.099	-0.031	0.110
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.022	0.051	-0.239*
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.140	0.199	-0.093
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.026	0.107	-0.041

For increasing energy efficiency	0.170	0.117	0.047
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.009	-0.049	0.025
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.019	-0.004	0.025
For energy conservation	-0.060	-0.088	-0.091
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.086	0.105	-0.135
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.054	-0.016	0.032
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.101	-0.078	0.056
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.003	-0.033	-0.018
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.112	0.061	0.146
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.031	0.048	-0.073
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.026	0.031	-0.021
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.087	0.028	-0.007
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.083*	0.028	0.015
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.039	0.126	-0.044
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.157	-0.413**	-0.108
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.032	0.052	-0.053
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.047	-0.031	0.086
For having reduced energy consumption	0.081	-0.070	0.053
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.095	0.262*	0.104

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	0.104	-0.066	-0.143
For increasing energy efficiency	0.000	0.007	-0.001
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.048	-0.029	-0.072
For energy conservation	-0.154	0.030	0.025
For managing energy	-0.223*	0.152	0.115

Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y

Observations	330	330	330
--------------	-----	-----	-----

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B3. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Research and development funding or subsidies policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is funding or subsidies for research and development to:</i>		
	<i>Increase energy efficiency</i>	<i>Reduce fossil fuel consumption</i>	<i>Increase renewable energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.059	-0.023	0.104
Technology standard	-0.049	-0.019	-0.029
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.033	-0.034	-0.004
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.006	0.010	0.001
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.074	-0.019	0.066
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.066	-0.379	0.005
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.821***	-0.357	-0.209
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.095	-0.294	0.221
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.125*	0.115	-0.648***
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.210*	-0.260*	-0.153
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.210	0.442*	0.306
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.164	-0.100	0.055

For increasing energy efficiency	-0.027	-0.052	0.038
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.088	0.025	-0.017
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.034	0.023	-0.073
For energy conservation	-0.106	-0.104	-0.101
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.194	0.161	0.023
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.021	0.023	0.017
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.314**	-0.399	-0.204
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.013	0.040	-0.008
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.057	0.118	0.085
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.039	0.247	0.089
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.030	0.068	0.081
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.026	-0.041	-0.004
For having increased energy efficiency	0.001	-0.031	0.036
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.104	-0.134	-0.100
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.147	0.210	0.106
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.213	0.255*	-0.013
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.082	-0.264	-0.177
For having reduced energy consumption	0.052	0.015	-0.011
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.427**	-0.467**	-0.410*

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	0.005	-0.121	0.000
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.052	-0.047	-0.004
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.062	-0.140	-0.111
For energy conservation	-0.022	0.023	-0.024
For managing energy	-0.071	-0.009	-0.029

Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y

Observations	330	330	330
--------------	-----	-----	-----

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B4. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is funding or subsidies for:</i>	
	<i>Increasing energy efficiency</i>	<i>Reducing fossil fuel consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>		
Time lagged spatial lag of:		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.065	0.071
Technology standard	-0.430	-0.543**
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.032	0.023
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.069	-0.027
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.136	0.194
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.039	0.016
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.012	-0.209
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.206	0.131
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.094	-0.021
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.565***	0.062
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.398	-0.123
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution	0.104	0.088
For increasing energy efficiency	0.029	0.076
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.064	-0.069

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.089	-0.001
For energy conservation	-0.179	-0.223
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.263	0.163
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	0.011	0.027
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.119	-0.269*
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.021	-0.018
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.051	0.076
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.259	0.338**
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.062	0.080
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	0.024	-0.019
For having increased energy efficiency	0.035	0.020
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.094	-0.052
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.001	0.070
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.037	-0.004
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.221	-0.389
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.118	-0.041
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.110	-0.469***
<i>Provide education and information</i>		

For reducing pollution	-0.027	-0.023
For increasing energy efficiency	0.006	-0.005
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.032	-0.010
For energy conservation	0.093	0.034
For managing energy	0.080	-0.030
Economic variables	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y
Observations	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B5a. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Loans to firms policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is loans to firms for:</i>	
	<i>Reducing pollution</i>	<i>Increasing energy efficiency</i>
<u>Instruments</u>		
Time lagged spatial lag of:		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.051	0.270*
Technology standard	0.114	-0.034
Renewable electricity mandate	0.069**	0.035
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.022	-0.063
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.046	0.016
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.020	0.081
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.256**	0.236
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.213**	-0.257
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.051	0.120
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.128	-0.126
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.442**	-0.206
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution	-0.403*	-0.012
For increasing energy efficiency	0.042	-0.225
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.096	0.040

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.029	0.014
For energy conservation	-0.056	-0.403*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.116	0.011
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	0.070*	0.090
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.326	-0.105
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.007	0.098
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.138	0.181
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.322**	-0.200
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.022	0.096
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	-0.067	-0.097
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.013	-0.087
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.014	-0.097
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.119	-0.048
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.039	0.009
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.331**	0.148
For having reduced energy consumption	0.061	0.029
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.252**	-0.026
<i>Provide education and information</i>		

For reducing pollution	-0.111	0.027
For increasing energy efficiency	0.050	0.023
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.011	0.042
For energy conservation	0.015	-0.006
For managing energy	-0.024	-0.274*
Economic variables	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y
Observations	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B5b. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Loans to firms policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is loans to firms for:</i>		
	<i>Reducing fossil fuel consumption</i>	<i>Increasing renewable energy consumption</i>	<i>Energy conservation</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.094	0.015	-0.404*
Technology standard	0.010	0.070	0.037
Renewable electricity mandate	0.064	0.010	-0.019
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.012	-0.052	-0.011
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.061	0.001	-0.129
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.054	0.064	0.122
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.088	-0.009	0.007
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.195*	-0.114	-0.023
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.110	0.244*	-0.066
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.094	-0.156	0.071
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.206	0.000	0.100
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.189	0.052	0.072

For increasing energy efficiency	-0.202	-0.031	0.032
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.409	-0.026	-0.059
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.076	-0.709***	-0.012
For energy conservation	-0.101	-0.061	-0.316

Financial incentives: Loans to households

For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.121	0.181	0.106
--------------------------------------	-------	-------	-------

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	0.065	-0.005	0.046
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.122	0.020	0.147
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.063	-0.008	-0.018
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.231	-0.002	0.097
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.115	-0.096	0.237
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.112	-0.015	0.072

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	-0.046	-0.003	-0.030
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.026	-0.005	0.006
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.163	0.005	0.057
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.160	-0.082	-0.451**
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.231*	0.003	0.005
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.023	0.096	-0.231
For having reduced energy consumption	0.001	0.070	-0.129

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.259	0.033	0.150
--	--------	-------	-------

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-0.148	-0.017	-0.106
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.023	-0.116	0.010
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.013	-0.008	-0.025
For energy conservation	-0.022	0.050	0.102
For managing energy	-0.012	-0.054	0.179
Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B6. First-stage regressions for Financial incentives: Loans to households policy variables

Dependent variable is:
Loans to households for reducing fossil fuel consumption

<u>Instruments</u>	
Time lagged spatial lag of:	
<i>Command and control</i>	
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.042
Technology standard	-0.070
Renewable electricity mandate	-0.017
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>	
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.039
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.012
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.370
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.311
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.323
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.152*
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.217
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.334*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>	
For reducing pollution	-0.104
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.017
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.026

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.015
For energy conservation	-0.155
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.494**
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	0.009
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.437*
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.036
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.153
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.170
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.049
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	-0.020
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.024
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.162
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.193
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.256*
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.221
For having reduced energy consumption	0.041
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.471*
<i>Provide education and information</i>	

For reducing pollution	-0.130
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.051
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.026
For energy conservation	-0.039
For managing energy	-0.007
Economic variables	Y
Province fixed effects	Y
Year effects	Y
Observations	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B7a. First-stage regressions for Awards: Monetary awards policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is monetary awards for having:</i>		
	<i>Reduced pollution</i>	<i>Reduced fossil fuel consumption</i>	<i>Increased renewable energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.142*	0.106	-0.034
Technology standard	0.004	-0.032	0.038
Renewable electricity mandate	0.171**	0.017	0.120*
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.065*	-0.023	0.089
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.132	0.098	-0.069
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.033	0.052	-0.206
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.239*	0.195*	0.022
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.180	-0.303**	0.094
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.257***	0.015	-0.011
For increasing energy efficiency	0.206***	0.076*	0.002
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.361**	-0.188	0.403
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.039	0.042	-0.016

For increasing energy efficiency	0.035	-0.006	0.107
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.012	-0.020	0.073
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.020	0.010	0.047
For energy conservation	0.026	-0.235*	-0.059
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.053	0.146	-0.221
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.386**	0.025	0.059
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.330	-0.396	0.077
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.057	0.089*	-0.707***
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.297**	0.164*	-0.517
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.372**	-0.080	-0.071
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.270*	0.109*	-0.036
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.368**	-0.005	-0.025
For having increased energy efficiency	0.067	-0.061	-0.197
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.032	-0.176	0.171
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.071	0.199	0.122
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.016	0.015	-0.013
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.274*	-0.036	0.043
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.310**	-0.090*	-0.031
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.039	-0.274	0.161

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	0.081	-0.085	0.113
For increasing energy efficiency	0.046	0.004	0.008
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.056	0.015	-0.050
For energy conservation	0.168*	-0.017	0.039
For managing energy	-0.329*	0.020	-0.136
Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B7b. First-stage regressions for Awards: Monetary awards policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is monetary awards for having developed technology to:</i>	
	<i>Increase energy efficiency</i>	<i>Increase renewable energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>		
Time lagged spatial lag of:		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.121	-0.197
Technology standard	-0.582**	0.055
Renewable electricity mandate	0.056	0.087*
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.040	0.036
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.123	0.107
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.243	0.018
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.098	-0.105
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.276*	0.288
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.075	-0.036
For increasing energy efficiency	0.177**	-0.151
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.037	0.159
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution	0.059	-0.052

For increasing energy efficiency	0.059	-0.069
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.058	0.034
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.018	-0.002
For energy conservation	-0.286	0.043
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.319**	-0.221*
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	0.073	0.007
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.307	-0.039
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.002	-0.059
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.443**	0.005
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.092	-0.565**
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.213**	-0.006
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	-0.024	-0.035
For having increased energy efficiency	0.028	0.021
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.058	0.029
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.064	0.039
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.010	-0.027
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.138	-0.111
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.105*	0.000
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.268	0.052

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-0.373**	-0.034
For increasing energy efficiency	0.010	0.004
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.053	-0.113
For energy conservation	0.045	0.070
For managing energy	0.155	-0.179

Economic variables	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y

Observations	330	330
--------------	-----	-----

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B7c. First-stage regressions for Awards: Monetary awards policy variables

*Dependent variable is monetary awards for:
Having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy*

<u>Instruments</u>	
Time lagged spatial lag of:	
<i>Command and control</i>	
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.052
Technology standard	-0.015
Renewable electricity mandate	0.057
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>	
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.061
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.037
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.372
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.100
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.233
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.132
For increasing energy efficiency	0.051
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.366*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>	
For reducing pollution	-0.021
For increasing energy efficiency	0.054
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.005

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.001
For energy conservation	0.023
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.155
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	-0.106
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.048
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.008
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.030
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.332*
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.196
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	0.018
For having increased energy efficiency	0.021
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.027
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.108
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.004
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.330**
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.136
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.228
<i>Provide education and information</i>	

For reducing pollution	-0.238
For increasing energy efficiency	0.004
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.033
For energy conservation	0.125
For managing energy	-0.218
Economic variables	Y
Province fixed effects	Y
Year effects	Y
Observations	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B8a. First-stage regressions for Awards: Non-monetary awards policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is non-monetary award for having:</i>		
	<i>Reduced pollution</i>	<i>Increased energy efficiency</i>	<i>Reduced fossil fuel consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.134	0.053	0.073
Technology standard	-0.002	-0.101	0.114
Renewable electricity mandate	0.122*	-0.008	0.028
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.016	0.022	-0.038
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.137	0.071	0.022
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.029	0.027	0.023
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.262	-0.072	0.248***
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.269	-0.017	-0.303***
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.026	0.066	0.098
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.069	0.025	-0.121
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.523**	0.259	-0.550***
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.001	0.011	-0.007

For increasing energy efficiency	-0.075	-0.067	0.022
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.020	-0.003	0.014
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.002	0.004	0.016
For energy conservation	-0.125	-0.155	-0.040
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.023	0.113	0.093
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.015	-0.100	0.058*
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.321	-0.049	0.175
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.099	0.078	-0.018
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.038	0.072	0.123*
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.025	0.087	-0.393***
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.006	0.115	0.016
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.623***	0.081	-0.002
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.061	-0.786***	0.017
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.056	-0.168	-0.441
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.185	0.135	0.061
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.025	0.018	-0.024
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.524**	-0.238*	0.413***
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.126	-0.051	0.014
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.531***	-0.491**	0.102

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	0.064	-0.003	-0.062
For increasing energy efficiency	0.038	0.026	0.017
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.024	0.029	0.022
For energy conservation	0.011	-0.051	-0.023
For managing energy	-0.074	0.009	0.001

Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y

Observations	330	330	330
--------------	-----	-----	-----

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B8b. First-stage regressions for Awards: Non-monetary awards policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is non-monetary awards for having developed technology to:</i>		
	<i>Reduce pollution</i>	<i>Reduce fossil fuel consumption</i>	<i>Increase renewable energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.010	0.084	0.059
Technology standard	0.018	0.126	-0.448**
Renewable electricity mandate	0.025	0.037	0.020
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.051	-0.032	-0.048
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.024	0.043	0.103
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.320	0.035	0.069
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.174	0.185*	-0.164
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.159	-0.218**	0.057
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	0.105	0.043	0.040
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.153	-0.080	0.020
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.205	-0.393***	0.200
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			

For reducing pollution	0.042	0.136	0.079
For increasing energy efficiency	0.044	0.096	0.042
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.025	-0.041	-0.061
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.018	-0.007	-0.002
For energy conservation	-0.232	-0.123	-0.010

Financial incentives: Loans to households

For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.070	-0.197	0.177
--------------------------------------	-------	--------	-------

Awards: Monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	0.045	0.030	-0.026
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.066	0.206	-0.325*
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.041	-0.034	-0.078
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.213	0.027	0.152
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.088	-0.354**	0.106
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.063	0.000	-0.001

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	-0.032	0.050	0.043
For having increased energy efficiency	0.002	0.062	0.088
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.084	0.049	0.126
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.293	0.109	0.030
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.017	-0.638***	0.008
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.079	0.313**	-0.764***
For having reduced energy consumption	0.089	0.040	-0.053

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.242	0.329***	-0.310
<i>Provide education and information</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.145	-0.017	-0.083
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.017	-0.029	0.019
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.019	0.005	0.050
For energy conservation	-0.019	0.026	-0.001
For managing energy	0.051	-0.028	0.039
Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B8c. First-stage regressions for Awards: Non-monetary awards policy variables

		<i>Dependent variable is non-monetary awards for having reduced energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>		
Time lagged spatial lag of:		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution		0.082
Technology standard		0.037
Renewable electricity mandate		0.047
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency		0.143*
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy		0.144
Tax on fossil fuel consumption		0.008
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency		-0.051
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption		0.328**
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption		0.021
For increasing energy efficiency		-0.309*
For reducing fossil fuel consumption		0.048
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution		-0.091
For increasing energy efficiency		-0.057
For reducing fossil fuel consumption		0.031

For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.015
For energy conservation	-0.174
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.256*
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	0.026
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.180
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.058
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.021
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.059
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	-0.336*
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	-0.010
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.096*
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.007
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.062
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.065
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.177
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.251
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.404**
<i>Provide education and information</i>	

For reducing pollution	-0.159
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.010
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.037
For energy conservation	0.115
For managing energy	-0.209
Economic variables	Y
Province fixed effects	Y
Year effects	Y
Observations	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B9. First-stage regressions for Awards: Intellectual property rights policy variables

*Dependent variable is intellectual property rights
for research and development to increase energy efficiency*

Instruments

Time lagged spatial lag of:

<i>Command and control</i>	
Emissions standard for air pollution	-0.118*
Technology standard	-0.003
Renewable electricity mandate	0.006
 <i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>	
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.018
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	-0.045
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.304
 <i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.134
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.234
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.063
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.014
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.243**
 <i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>	
For reducing pollution	0.034
For increasing energy efficiency	0.019
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.029

For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.013
For energy conservation	-0.056
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.087
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	0.001
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.025
For having increased renewable energy consumption	-0.032
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.013
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.269**
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.026
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	-0.042
For having increased energy efficiency	0.006
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.038
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.031
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.022
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.225
For having reduced energy consumption	0.029
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.409*
<i>Provide education and information</i>	

For reducing pollution	-0.148
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.014
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.045*
For energy conservation	0.054
For managing energy	0.056
Economic variables	Y
Province fixed effects	Y
Year effects	Y
Observations	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B10a. First-stage regressions for Provide education and information policy variables

	<i>Dependent variable is provide education and information for:</i>		
	<i>Reducing pollution</i>	<i>Increasing energy efficiency</i>	<i>Increasing renewable energy consumption</i>
<u>Instruments</u>			
Time lagged spatial lag of:			
<i>Command and control</i>			
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.030	0.044	-0.288*
Technology standard	-0.090	-0.131	0.053
Renewable electricity mandate	0.065	0.001	-0.207*
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>			
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	0.078	0.046	-0.070
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	-0.296	0.096	0.027
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	-0.091	0.082	-0.252
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.117	-0.219	-0.090
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.198	0.206	0.084
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.063	0.044	0.261
For increasing energy efficiency	0.036	0.028	-0.060
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.312	0.055	-0.207
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>			
For reducing pollution	-0.001	-0.264	-0.014

For increasing energy efficiency	0.088	-0.341	0.050
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.002	0.236	-0.014
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.026	0.098	-0.013
For energy conservation	-0.053	-0.108	-0.071
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>			
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.105	-0.133	0.013
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	-0.039	-0.063	-0.042
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.274	0.124	-0.196
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.114	0.006	-0.026
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.038	0.139	0.004
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.141	0.148	-0.137
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.116	0.108	-0.086
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>			
For having reduced pollution	0.046	0.028	-0.027
For having increased energy efficiency	-0.061	0.031	0.018
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	-0.229	-0.100	0.111
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.293	0.157	0.206
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.007	-0.023	0.027
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.309*	-0.207	0.320
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.155	-0.019	0.165*
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>			
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	-0.490*	-0.438*	-0.140

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	-0.604***	-0.108	0.009
For increasing energy efficiency	0.006	-0.502***	0.035
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.101	0.033	-0.469***
For energy conservation	0.064	-0.017	-0.189
For managing energy	-0.194	0.095	-0.022
Economic variables	Y	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y	Y
Observations	330	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Table B10b. First-stage regressions for Provide education and information policy variables

<u>Instruments</u>	<i>Dependent variable is provide education and information for:</i>	
	<i>For energy conservation</i>	<i>For managing energy</i>
Time lagged spatial lag of:		
<i>Command and control</i>		
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.076	0.056
Technology standard	-0.155	-0.096
Renewable electricity mandate	0.030	-0.051
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>		
Favorable tax treatment for increasing energy efficiency	-0.071	0.072*
Favorable tax treatment for conserving energy	0.060	0.174
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	0.242	0.164
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.166	0.108
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.023	0.046
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.156	-0.160*
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.005	0.074
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.287	0.266*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>		
For reducing pollution	0.018	-0.039
For increasing energy efficiency	0.115	-0.067
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.006	-0.010

For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.126	-0.027
For energy conservation	-0.208	-0.166*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>		
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-0.142	-0.116
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	0.032	-0.054
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.337	0.319*
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.035	0.029
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	-0.217	-0.090
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.088	0.183
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.143	-0.054
<i>Awards: Non-monetary awards</i>		
For having reduced pollution	-0.014	0.048
For having increased energy efficiency	0.041	-0.015
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.012	0.152*
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	-0.107	-0.324*
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-0.009	0.016
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.105	-0.102
For having reduced energy consumption	-0.059	-0.068
<i>Intellectual property rights</i>		
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.217	0.321**
<i>Provide education and information</i>		

For reducing pollution	-0.350*	-0.138
For increasing energy efficiency	0.006	0.046*
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.012	-0.005
For energy conservation	-0.376*	0.158*
For managing energy	0.209*	-0.422*
Economic variables	Y	Y
Province fixed effects	Y	Y
Year effects	Y	Y
Observations	330	330

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.

Appendix C

Table C1. Results for total energy consumption

	<i>Dependent variable is: Log total energy consumption per capita</i>
<u>Policy Variables</u>	
<i>Command and control</i>	
Emissions standard for air pollution	0.302
Technology standard	-0.696
Renewable electricity mandate	1.018
<i>Financial incentives: Taxes</i>	
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.108
For conserving energy	0.180
Tax on fossil fuel consumption	3.792
<i>Financial incentives: Funding or subsidies</i>	
For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.474
For research and development to reduce fossil fuel consumption	0.000
For research and development to increase renewable energy consumption	-0.058
For increasing energy efficiency	1.841
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	-7.003*
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to firms</i>	
For reducing pollution	-1.516
For increasing energy efficiency	-1.354
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.739
For increasing renewable energy consumption	-0.106
For energy conservation	2.046
<i>Financial incentives: Loans to households</i>	
For reducing fossil fuel consumption	0.000
<i>Awards: Monetary awards</i>	
For having reduced pollution	-0.399
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	4.293***
For having increased renewable energy consumption	0.412
For having developed technology to increase energy efficiency	0.000
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.000
For having reduced energy consumption, or having saved or conserved energy	0.549

Awards: Non-monetary awards

For having reduced pollution	0.000
For having increased energy efficiency	1.182
For having reduced fossil fuel consumption	0.000
For having developed technology to reduce pollution	0.000
For having developed technology to reduce fossil fuel consumption	-1.740***
For having developed technology to increase renewable energy consumption	0.000
For having reduced energy consumption	-1.653

Intellectual property rights

For research and development to increase energy efficiency	0.000
--	-------

Provide education and information

For reducing pollution	0.625
For increasing energy efficiency	-0.530
For increasing renewable energy consumption	0.164
For energy conservation	0.050
For managing energy	0.000

Economic Variables

Log transportation fuels and parts consumer price index	4.285
Log residential water, electricity, and fuels consumer price index	8.672
Log fuel retail price index	8.767*
Log GDP per capita (yuan) (2002 constant price)	1.897
	4.285

Province fixed effects	Y
Year effects	Y

Observations	120
R-squared	0.0507

Notes: For each policy variable, we instrument for the policy variable using the time lagged spatial lag of that policy variable in province i , which we define as the sum of the values of that policy variable over all the other provinces except province i at time $t-1$. Significance codes based on robust standard errors: * 5% level, ** 1% level, and *** 0.1% level.